

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Adhers COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Absorbes, Viginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/085,479 10/09/2002 Terry L. Bray 10927.5USC2 6997

09/23/2003 23552 7590 MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

LANKFORD JR, LEON B ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1651

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/085,479	BRAY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	L Blaine Lankford	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extraorisms of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (5) MON-This from the mailing date of this communication.  - Any communication of the provision of 18 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MON-This from the mailing date of the considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply vision and the status of the provision of the provision of the status of the provision of the provisio		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 February 2002.		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 39 and 40 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39 and 40</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is; a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F . 6) Other: .	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/085,479

Art Unit: 1651

## DETAILED ACTION

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 39 & 40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,406,903 in view of Southworth et al(5471947) or Saito et al(5104694). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent teaches all of the elements of the claimed invention except the use of laser light, however it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to measure aggregation by light scattering because Southworth (e.g. Col 4, lines 55-60) and Saito (e.g. Col 10, lines 40-63) teach the measuring of crystal aggregation/nucleation using laser light and a detector therefor. Accordingly, the claimed invention was to one of

3

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Blaine Lankford whose telephone number is 308-2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

L Blaine Lankford Primary Examine Art Unit 1651

LBL